

Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 4th Quarter '97 versus 4th Quarter '98

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 63 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column B);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column A);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column C);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column D);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column G); and
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 1,379) and "total decrease" in ADP (2069). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 1,379 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 2,069 ADP. Subtracting 2,069 from 1,379 produces the overall decrease of 690 between the fourth quarter of 1997 and the fourth quarter of 1998.
2. The San Francisco ADP increase of 295 is 21.4% of the total increase of 1,379. Twenty-six jurisdictions had increases (down to Trinity Sheriff's Department). When you get to Trinity Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 1,379).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Mendocino Sheriff's Department to Santa Clara Department of Corrections). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 2,069 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top five jurisdictions (San Francisco Sheriff's Department to Fresno Sheriff's Department) is 62.7%. In other words, five jurisdictions accounted for almost 63% of the ADP increase.
5. In the last 12 months the ADP has decreased by 0.9%.